



# Factors Influencing Knee Flexion Range of Movement after Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty : Study of a Consecutive Series over Ten Years

Faustin Atemkeng Tsatedem <sup>a\*</sup>,  
Arabo Saidou Mohamadou <sup>b</sup>, Tsiagadigui Jean Gustave <sup>c</sup>,  
Douryang Maurice <sup>a</sup>, Djam C. Alain <sup>a</sup>, Guifo Marc Leroy <sup>d</sup>,  
Eone Handy <sup>d</sup>, Farikou Ibrahima <sup>d</sup> and Watcho Pierre <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faustin Atemkeng Tsatedem, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Dschang, Cameroon.

<sup>b</sup> University of Garoua, Cameroon.

<sup>c</sup> Université de Douala, Cameroon.

<sup>d</sup> Université de Yaoundé I, Cameroon.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ACRI/2023/v23i2555

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96749>

Original Research Article

Received: 07/12/2022

Accepted: 13/02/2023

Published: 20/02/2023

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Knee flexion after total arthroplasty is not always satisfactory. Our hypothesis: many factors can influence it. Some of these factors have been studied, but with controversial results.

**Methodology:** It was a monocentric prospective and comparative study. All primary total knee replacement were included. For each factor, we compared a group of patients with above mean

\*Corresponding author: Email: [atemfaust@yahoo.fr](mailto:atemfaust@yahoo.fr);

flexion to those with smaller flexion, using comparison of means, regression analysis and the chi square test.

**Results:** We had 110 arthroplasties from 88 patients. Three preoperative factors affected the outcome: pre-operative knee flexion  $< 110^\circ$ , flexum deformity  $> 5^\circ$  and a body mass index above  $30\text{kg/m}^2$ . The respective Pearson coefficient value for these three factors were  $+0.50$ ,  $+0.05$ , and  $-0.13$ . The chi square values were respectively  $12.019$  ( $p < 0.001$ ) and  $6.091$  ( $p < 0.02$ ) for the first two ones. Mean postoperative flexion values were significantly low for the patients with high body mass index ( $p < 0.03$ ) and for those with knee flexion  $\leq 110^\circ$  ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:** Such studies should be carried out in other settings to prepare later meta-analysis. The effect of early physiotherapy in knee arthritis treatment in preventing knee stiffness at the time of total knee replacement should be evaluated.

*Keywords: Total knee arthroplasty; flexion; factors.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Obtaining a good amplitude of knee flexion after total arthroplasty is one of the key elements of the functional success of the operation [1-5].

For example, the minimum amplitude of knee flexion necessary on a daily basis is [6]:

- $67^\circ$  for the oscillation phase during walking,
- $83^\circ$  for climbing stairs,
- $90^\circ$  for descending stairs,
- $90^\circ$  for everyday activities and
- $93^\circ$  for getting up from a chair.

Several pre-, per- or post-operative factors are likely to influence the range of flexion obtained after total arthroplasty. They have been mentioned in various publications: epidemiological factors [1], degree of severity of osteoarthritis [2], prosthesis model [1], neurological and psychological factors [7]. We identified these different factors in a continuous, single-centre, single-operator series of primary knee arthroplasty to find those that have the greatest influence on the range of flexion obtained after arthroplasty.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

We obtained ethical clearance from the Hospital Committee. Only primary total arthroplasties performed between January 2002 and December 2011 were included. Unicompartmental prostheses, revision surgeries and patients lost to follow-up were excluded. Data were prospectively collected using pre-, intra-, and postoperative data sheets. The objective functional score [8] of the International Knee Society Score (IKSS) was assessed through walking, going up and down stairs, and the need

to use canes. Angular measurements were made with a goniometer. The evolutionary stage of osteoarthritis was determined according to Ahlbäck's radiological classification [9].

The following data were retained: age, sex, side, body mass index (BMI), objective score of the IKSS, site of osteoarthritis, evolutionary stage, amplitude of preoperative flexion, the preoperative flexum, the mechanical axis, the resurfacing or not of the patella, the amplitude of flexion at the end of the intervention and during the latest consultation.

For each factor, patients who achieved lower than average range of flexion are compared to those with above average range. The statistical study of the differences between these two groups used the reduced-difference test, the calculation of the Pearson correlation coefficient or the  $\chi^2$  test.

All our patients had antibiotic prophylaxis, a pneumatic tourniquet from incision to closure and an internal (knee varum) or external (knee valgum) parapatellar approach. The femoral target was centromedullary and the tibial extramedullary. A tibial slope of  $5^\circ$  was provided for in the instrumentation. The spaces in extension and flexion after cutting were comparable and rectangular. Release of the concavity and release of any posterior osteophytes were systematic. The prosthesis was a cemented Scorpio™ with a posterior stabilized mobile plate. Only the kneecaps very deformed by osteoarthritis were resurfaced.

The joint capsule was closed with the knee flexed at  $90^\circ$ . Physiotherapy aimed at mobilizing the knee began on the first postoperative day.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1 General

We included 110 arthroplasties in 88 patients who were followed for an average of 23 months [2-141]. Twenty-two arthroplasties were bilateral. The age of the patients was on average 70 years [33-87] (Table 1). The female/male ratio was 2.55. The right knee was operated in 60 patients and the left in 50. The mean body mass index (BMI) was 31.54 kg/m<sup>2</sup> [22.34-48.45].

Sixty-two of our patients had a varied general pathological history (56.37%). Regarding the local history, there were 16 meniscectomies, 2 patella fractures, one articular fracture of the femur, 3 internal tibial valgus osteotomies, 2 anterior cruciate ligament surgeries, rheumatoid arthritis and chondrocalcinosis. As general history, 25 arterial hypertension, 5 diabetes, 2 myocardial infarction, 2 deep vein thrombosis, and 2 pulmonary embolisms were found in the patient history. Five were taking anticoagulants and 4 had varicose veins in the lower limbs. The mean preoperative objective functional score (IKSS) was 61.23 out of 100 [25-100].

Internal femorotibial osteoarthritis (AFTI) accounted for 66.36% of cases, external femorotibial (FTE) 14.55%, patellofemoral (FP) 36.36% and tricompartmental 12.73%. Concerning the radiological classification of Ahlbäck [9], there were: 9.09%; 54.55%; 24.55% and 11.82% for osteoarthritis of stages I, II, III and IV respectively.

Mean preoperative flexion amplitude was 110.19°[40-130], flexum 6.46°[0-25] and recurvatum 0.14°[0-5]. A genu varum was present in 76.36% of cases against 20% for genu valgum and 3.67% for normoaxial knees.

Patella resurfacing was performed for 64 knees (58.18%). A test was performed before knee closure. The "no thumb test" was satisfactory in 94.55% of cases and the "kissing test" in 93.64%. Mean flexion at end of surgery was 124.90°[120-130] and extension at end of surgery was 0° in all patients.

Incidents and complications noted: 2 fractures of the femur, 2 disinsertions of the patellar tendon on the anterior tibial tuberosity, 2 fractures of the tibial plateau, 1 section of the internal lateral ligament and 2 postoperative hematomas. All these patients, on the other hand, had postoperative flexion amplitudes greater than or equal to 100°.

The mean postoperative functional score (IKSS) was 83.44 out of 100 [20-100]. The patient was very satisfied in 80.91% of cases and the surgeon in 82.73%. The average postoperative flexion amplitude was 111.68° [60-140].

#### 3.2 Influence of the Factors Studied

The differences in flexion amplitude measured at the last consultation between the two groups are presented in Table 2, according to each of the factors studied. The values of the reduced difference, of the Pearson correlation coefficient and of the chi-square were calculated and make it possible to demonstrate that the difference between the two groups is statistically significant for the three factors which are the body mass index, the pre-operative flexion amplitude and the existence of a pre-operative flexion greater than 5 degrees.

Twenty-two patients had bilateral arthroplasties. The average gap between the two operations was 22.18 months [3-77]. The mean postoperative flexion angle was 111.25°[85-135] in this subgroup of bilateral prostheses. This mean postoperative flexion angle was 114.32° on the right versus 108.18° on the left, the difference not being significant (reduced-gap test for small samples).

The specific search for patients with poor postoperative flexion reveals that nine knees had postoperative flexion less than or equal to 90°. Their clinical history is dominated by the three pejorative factors identified above, the advanced evolutionary stage, and the notion of previous bone trauma to the knee. Similarly, the study of 13 patients with postoperative flexion > 130° found the absence of these three harmful factors, except that six of these 13 had a preoperative flexum >5°. Of the 15 patients with BMI <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and preoperative amplitude >110°, only one had postoperative flexion <110°, i.e. 95°.

In sum, the three pejorative factors identified are (Table 2): a preoperative flexion amplitude < 110°, a preoperative flexum > 5° and a body mass index > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with respective Pearson correlation coefficients of +0.50, +0.05 and -0.13. Comparison of the means by the reduced-gap test revealed a significant difference for BMI (p<0.03) and for preoperative flexion amplitude (p<0.001). The  $\chi^2$  test found a significant difference for flexum (p<0.02) and for preoperative flexion amplitude (p<0.001). The other analyzes did not reveal any statistically significant difference.

**Table 1. Description of study variables**

Sample size	88 Patients	66 Unilateral	Bilateral (44)	110 Prostheses
Recul	Minimum 2 months	Maximum 141 months	Average 22.59 months	
Age	Minimum 33 years	Maximum 87 years	Mean 69,9 years	
Sex ratio	Female 79(71,82%)	Male 31(28,18%)	Ratio 2,55	
Operated side	Right 60(54,55%)	Left 50(45,45%)		
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Minimum 22,34	Maximum 48,45	Mean 31,54	
Pre-operative functional score over 100	Minimum 25	Maximum 100	Mean 61,23	
Arthritis localisation	Medial 55(66,36%)	Lateral 8(14,55%)	Femoropatellaire 40(36,36%)	Tricompartimental 14(12,73%)
Evolutionary stage on Ahlbäck classification	Stade I 10(9,09%)	Stade II 60(54,55%)	Stade III 27(24,55%)	Stade IV 13(11,82%)
Pre-operative flexion	Minimum 40°	Maximum 130°	Mean 110,19°	
Pre-operative flexum	Minimum 0°	Maximum 25°	Mean 6,46°	
Pre-operative recurvatum	Minimum 0°	Maximum 5°	Mean 0,14°	
Frontal deformation	Varum 84(76,36%)	Valgum 22(20%)	Normal 4(3,67%)	
Arthritic patella surfacing	Yes 64(58,18%)	No 46(41,82%)		
End of surgery flexion	Minimum 120°	Maximum 130°	Mean 124,90°	
Post-operative functional score over 100	Minimum 20	Maximum 100	Mean 83,44	
Post-operative flexion	Minimum 60°	Maximum 140°	Mean 111,68°	

**Table 2. Summary of the influence of variables (NA=non applicable, //= versus)**

Factor	Mean	Ecart réduit	Correlation	Chi square
1 Age > 70 // ≤ 70 years	111,35°//111,84°	0,05	+0,03	0,004
2 Sex female//male	111,65°//111,77°	-0,04	NA	0,001
3 Side left//right	110,50°//112,67°	0,82	NA	0,440
4 Body mass index > à 30//≤30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	109,91°//115,11°	2,2(p<0,03)	-0,13	1,910
5 Pre-operative functional score ≤ 60//>60	111,56°//111,89°	0,11	+0,12	0,565
6 Localisation lateral//medial	111,56°//112,19°	0,2	NA	0,493
7 Evolutionary Stage (3 et 4)//(1 et 2)	109,38°//113,00°	1,29	NA	1,604
8 Pre-operative flexion amplitude ≤ 110°//>110	106,67°//116,57°	-4,17(p<0,001)	+0,50	12,019(p<0,001)
9 Pre-operative flexum > 5°//<5°	109,89°//113,39°	1,38	-0,05	6,091(p<0,02)
10 Genu varum versus genu valgum	110,00°//110,91°	0,2	NA	0,716
11 Arthritic patella surfacing yes//no	110,32°//113,26°	1,06	NA	0,628
12 End of surgery flexion ≤ 125°//>125°	110,27°//113,80°	-1,27	+0,14	1,787
13 First versus second knee in case bilateral	114,09°//108,41°	-1,8	NA	2,316
14 Left side //right in case bilateral	108,18°//114,32°	1,65	NA	2,316

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The weak points of our study: all the factors that could influence the amplitude of postoperative flexion were not tested. The strong points of our work reside in its monocentric and monooperator character. Verification of the initial research hypothesis: there are factors determining the amplitude of postoperative flexion of the knee after total arthroplasty. Comparison of our main results with those of the literature. Regarding age, Kantial et al. [1] in 2013, found no relationship with flexion during a study of 248 so-called "high flexion" knee prostheses. Farahini et al. [9] found a correlation in univariate analysis by studying 92 prostheses at one year's follow-up, but this correlation was not found in

multivariate analysis. For Schurman et al. [10] who studied 164 arthroplasties, the younger ones have better flexion but their follow-up was only 3 months. Anouchi et al. [11] found no correlation. We had a very slight difference, otherwise not significant, in favor of those aged 70 and under. Regarding sex, Kantial et al. [1] find a slightly higher amplitude in men, the difference being non-significant at 6 months and at one year (like us) postoperatively. Kotani et al. [12], found no difference at two years' follow-up in a study of 219 prostheses. Obesity, for Frankin et al. [7] who studied the registry of total knee prostheses in the United States between 2000 and 2005, reduced postoperative flexion of the knee by interposition of soft tissues between the thigh and the leg. Kantial et al. [1] find that patients

with a high BMI have low postoperative flexion amplitude [1]. We also found a weak negative correlation between these two variables and a difference when comparing means ( $p < 0.03$ ). Kotani et al. [12], find no difference. Several studies [1] have found, like us, that a large amplitude of preoperative flexion favors a better amplitude of postoperative flexion. For several authors [11-13] the knee scores before and after the prosthesis are the most important factor in predicting postoperative flexion. We found a very weak positive correlation between the preoperative functional score of the knee and the postoperative flexion ( $R = +0.12$ ). Ritter et al. [13] studied 4727 knees between 1983 and 1998 and found that preoperative flexion decreased postoperative flexion, and that internal release in the case of genu varum, release of the posterior osteophytes and capsule, on the other hand, increased it. Our results are similar for the flexum and all our patients had a release of the posterior capsule, of the posterior osteophytes and of the concavity (in the frontal deformities) when necessary. Concerning the use of the tourniquet, Wakankar et al. [14] in England carried out a randomized study in 1999 of 77 arthroplasties divided into two groups (with and without a tourniquet) and found that the non-use of a tourniquet is beneficial for flexion only at one week postoperatively, no significant difference being noted at 6 weeks and 4 months postoperatively. Kantial et al. [1] found that releasing the tourniquet before closure also had an early positive effect. Our patients all had a tourniquet from incision to closure. Bellemans in 2006 [15] finds that the spaces in extension and flexion after the bone cuts are made should be equal and rectangular. This principle was respected for almost all of our patients. Concerning the resurfacing or not of the patella, Burnett et al. in 2007 [16] in Washington, conducted a prospective randomized study in 32 patients with 64 primary prostheses (left and right) with a follow-up of 10 years. They found no difference in flexion, postoperative score, satisfaction, revisions and anterior pain. Only osteoarthritic patellae were resurfaced in our series and the difference in postoperative flexion was not significant. Other preoperative predictive factors as quadriceps weakness [17], kinematics [18], education level [19], gender [20], and hip stiffness [21] have been reported. Authors still claim that high knee flexion is not necessary for patient satisfaction [22]. But, knees are still manipulated for stiffness after total knee replacement [14,23]. The posterior tibial slope does not influence knee flexion [24], the pre

anesthetic flexion is the best [25] and thus, preoperative physiotherapy is to be proposed from these observations in our study, like in the recommendations formulated by Diane UJ and al. in 2020 [26].

## 5. CONCLUSION

Three preoperative factors affected the outcome: pre-operative knee flexion  $\leq 110^\circ$ , flexum deformity  $> 5^\circ$  and a body mass index above  $30\text{kg/m}^2$ . Such studies should be carried out in other settings with larger samples, to prepare later meta-analysis. The effect of preoperative and early postoperative physiotherapy and body mass index reduction if relevant, prior to total knee replacement in knee arthritis treatment to preventing post-operative knee stiffness after should considered.

## CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patient(s) written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Kantial HS, Parag KS, Ashok KS, Rajeev J, Kailash P, Anubhav J. Factors affecting range of motion in total knee arthroplasty using high flexion prosthesis: A prospective study. *Indian J Orthop.* 2013;47(1):50–56.
2. Farahini H, Moghtadaei M, Bagheri A, Akbarian E. Factors influencing range of motion after total knee arthroplasty. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2012;14(7):417–21.
3. Pagoti R, O'Brien S, Blaney J, Doran E, Beverland D. Knee manipulation for reduced flexion after Total Knee Arthroplasty. Is timing critical? *J Clin Orthop Trauma.* 2018;9(4):295-9.
4. Oka T, Wada O, Asai T, Maruno H, Mizuno K. Importance of knee flexion range of motion during the acute phase after total

- knee arthroplasty. *Phys Ther Res.* 2020;23(2):143-8.
5. Tan J, Zou D, Zhang X, Zheng N, Pan Y, Ling Z, et al. Loss of Knee Flexion and Femoral Rollback of the Medial-Pivot and Posterior-Stabilized Total Knee Arthroplasty During Early-Stance of Walking in Chinese Patients. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2021;9:675093.
  6. Kettelkamp DB, Johnson RJ, Smidt GL, Chao EY, Walker M. An electrogoniometric study of knee motion in normal gait. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1970;52:775-90.
  7. Franklin PD, Li W, Ayers DC. The Chitranjan Ranawat Award: Functional outcome after total knee replacement varies with patient attributes. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2008;466:2597-604.
  8. Davies AP. Rating systems for total knee replacement. *Knee.* 2002;9(4):261-266.
  9. Ahlbäck S. Osteoarthritis of the knee. a radiographic investigation. *Acta Radiol Diagn (Stockh)* 1968 ;Suppl 277:7-72.
  10. Schurman DJ, Matityahu A, Goodman SB, Maloney W, Woolson S, Shi H, et al. Prediction of postoperative knee flexion in Insall-Burstein II total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1998;353:175-84.
  11. Anouchi YS, McShane M, Kelly F, Jr, Elting J, Stiehl J. Range of motion in total knee replacement. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1996;331:87-92.
  12. Kotani A, Yonekura A, Bourne RB. Factors affecting range of motion after contemporary total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2005;7:850-6.
  13. Ritter MA, Harty LD, Davis KE, Meding JB, Berend ME. Predicting range of motion after total knee arthroplasty. Clustering, log-linear regression, and regression tree analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85-A:1278-85.
  14. Wakankar HM, Nicholl JE, Koka R, D'Arcy JC. The tourniquet in total knee arthroplasty. A prospective, randomised study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1999;81(1):30-3.
  15. Bellemans J. Comment obtenir une amplitude de flexion maximum après une PTG. *Maîtrise Orthopédique.* n°153 Avril 2006.
  16. Burnett RS, Boone JL, McCarthy KP, Rosenzweig S, Barrack RL. A prospective randomized clinical trial of patellar resurfacing and nonresurfacing in bilateral TKA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2007;464:65-72.
  17. Christensen JC, Mizner RL, Bo Foreman K, LaStayo PC, Peters CL, Pelt CE. Preoperative quadriceps weakness preferentially predicts postoperative aberrant movement patterns during high-demand mobility following total knee arthroplasty. *The Knee.* Janv. 2019; 26(1):79-87.
  18. Matsuzaki T, Matsumoto T, Muratsu H, Kubo S, Matsushita T, Kawakami Y, et al. Kinematic factors affecting postoperative knee flexion after cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty. *Int Orthop.* Mai 2013; 37(5):803-8.
  19. Zhou Y, Zhang B, Ran T, Ke S, Ma T, Qin Y, et al. Education level has an effect on the recovery of total knee arthroplasty: a retrospective study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2022;23(1):1072.
  20. Kinoshita T, Hino K, Kutsuna T, Watamori K, Tsuda T, Miura H. Gender-specific difference in the recurrence of flexion contracture after total knee arthroplasty. *J Exp Orthop.* déc 2021;8(1):87.
  21. Nakano N, Kubo S, Sato Y, Takayama K, Mizuno K, Kuroda R, et al. Knee Flexion Angle Following Total Knee Arthroplasty Relates to a Preoperative Range of Motion of the Hip. *Indian J Orthop.* Août. 2021;55(4):948-52.
  22. Mavalankar AP, Rani S. Is Achieving High Flexion Necessary for Satisfaction after Total Knee Arthroplasty in Indian Patients? *Indian J Orthop.* Avr 2019;53(2):270-5.
  23. Sala J, Jaroma A, Sund R, Huopio J, Kröger H, Sirola J. Manipulation under anesthesia after total knee arthroplasty: a retrospective study of 145 patients. *Acta Orthop.* 2022;93:583-7.
  24. Takemura S, Ikawa T, Ohyama Y, Kim M, Takaoka K, Minoda Y, et al. The posterior tibial slope does not influence the flexion angle in posterior-stabilized mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty. *Arthroplasty.* 2021;3(1):28.
  25. Ruangsomboon P, Pornrattanamanee-wong C, Santanapitkul P, Sarirasriid S, Chareancholvanich K, Narkbunnam R. The pre-anesthetic period is the best time to evaluate the knee flexion angle for predicting the flexion angle after total knee arthroplasty: A prospective cohort study.

- Isales CM, éditeur. PLOS ONE. 3 Févr 2023;18(2):e0281237.
26. Diane U Jette, Stephen J Hunter, [...], and for the American Physical Therapy Association Physical Therapist
- Management of Total Knee Arthroplasty Phys Ther. 2020;100(9):1603–1631.  
Published online 2020 Jun 16.  
DOI: 10.1093/ptj/pzaa099

---

© 2023 Tsatedem et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96749>