



## **Impact of Clinical Characteristics on Quality of Life of Heart Failure Patients**

**Yaman W. Kassab<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Zahid Iqbal<sup>2</sup>, Abdul Haseeb<sup>3</sup>,  
Muhammad Umer Abdul Moiz<sup>4</sup>, Amra Salahuddin<sup>5</sup>, Abdullah Ehsan<sup>4</sup>,  
Haroon Khalid Syed<sup>6</sup>, Shashidharan Menon<sup>7</sup>, Krupavaram Bethala<sup>7</sup>,  
Ganesh Sritheran Paneerselvam<sup>8</sup> and Muhammad Shahid Iqbal<sup>9\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, College of Pharmacy, National University of Science and Technology, Muscat, Oman.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, Lahore Pharmacy College, Lahore Medical & Dental College, University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Umm Al-Qura University, Al-Abdia Campus, Taif Road, P.O.Box 13574, Makkah, 21955, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>4</sup>Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>5</sup>Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Government College University, Faisalabad, 38000 Faisalabad, Pakistan.

<sup>7</sup>School of Pharmacy, KPJ Healthcare University College, 71800 Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia.

<sup>8</sup>School of Pharmacy, Taylor's University, 47500, Subang Jaya, Malaysia.

<sup>9</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Al-kharj, 11942, Saudi Arabia.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i60B35661

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/82706>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 20 October 2021  
Accepted 27 December 2021  
Published 28 December 2021**

### **ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** This study aimed to determine impact of clinical characteristics on quality of life (QoL) in heart failure patients.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study using a newly developed and validated research tool and Minnesota Living with Heart Failure (MLHF) research tool, was conducted in heart failure patients.

Data was collected by convenience sampling method. Descriptive, comparative, and inferential statistics were used by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) ver. 24 to determine the sociodemographic correlates of QoL in heart failure patients.

**Results:** The majority of the studied heart failure patients were male 103 (58.2%) and the females were 74 (41.8%). The most of the studied heart failure patients were from > 60 years of age group 73 (41.2%). In Spearman's correlation analysis, statistically non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ), weak and positive associations were observed. The studied clinical variables like disease duration, total no. of comorbidities, types of comorbidities i.e., HPT, dyslipidemia, renal problems, Diabetes mellitus (DM) and others, hospital admissions, no. of current medications were found to have positive correlation with patients overall QoL.

**Conclusion:** The study results concluded a weak but positive correlation between various studied clinical characteristics and QoL of the heart failure patients.

**Keywords:** Heart failure; QoL; sociodemographic; correlates; diabetes mellitus; MLHF; pharmacotherapy.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Heart failure is a major global health problem that affects every aspect of life among individuals. There are many daily activities and aspect which could be badly affected by the heart failure like daily chores, quality of life, employment and even premature death [1]. Heart failure is a chronic and progressive condition resulting from various reasons in which the heart is unable to pump sufficient amount of blood to meet oxygen demands of body as well as heart itself [2]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), it is ranked as one of the leading chronic and progressive disorder often resulting in significant interference with the daily chores of routine life [1-3].

It is a global disease that has affected more than 25 million individuals worldwide so far and the count is still increasing every day. In near future, it is expected that more than 8 million people will have this condition in next decade, accounting for a 45% increase in its prevalence worldwide [4-6]. As plentiful cases are not been reported hence the actual likelihood may be even more which pose a greater burden on the healthcare budgets of the several developing countries worldwide [7,8]. On the other hand, there are constant concerns over the consequences of delays in seeking treatment and resulting sudden paralysis and even deaths.

In literature, several studies showed that the perception of the patients' well-being by physicians and patients themselves focuses primarily on pharmacotherapy despite quality of life (QoL) [6-9]. In consequence, several countries are enforcing various policies and emergency healthcare programs to improve the

standard and sustainability of quality of life of the individuals affecting by diseases like heart failure [10,11]. As a progressive disease, heart failure, if left untreated or not timely treated, can cause potentially fatal complications and disabilities which could badly affect quality of life of the individuals. This study aimed to determine the effect clinical characteristics and their effect on quality of life of the heart failure patients.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study with a self-administered questionnaire was employed. The study subjects were screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Information sheet was handed to patients and informed consent was taken. The questionnaire was delivered personally to the patients by the researcher who also collected them back after they completed the questionnaires. The sampling method employed was convenient sampling. A pilot study was also conducted to further ascertain the reliability and validity of the research tool. Content validity of the questionnaire was checked before start of the study. Reliability of the questionnaire was assessed using Cronbach's alpha which is the most common tool to be used to measure internal consistency. A pilot study was also conducted to further ascertain the reliability and validity of the research tool. The mean score of all three domain of the MLHF research tool was taken and the total sum of the MLHF tool was used as an overall QoL among the studied heart failure patients. Then, the association between the QoL and clinical characteristics was analyzed.

### 2.1 Statistical Analyses

Percentages and frequencies were used for the categorical variables, while means and standard

deviations were calculated for the continuous variables. Chi square and Spearman's correlation coefficient were used to evaluate correlations and impact of various clinical characteristics on overall QoL of the studied heart failure patients. Data from the research questionnaire were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24.0.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study consisted of 177 heart failure patients and their demographic characteristics are presented in Fig. 1. An approximate of the male patients in this study were n=103 (58.2%) and the females were n=74 (41.8%). The majority of the studied heart failure patients were from > 60 years of age group n=73 (41.2%). In educational background, patients with no formal education were 51 (28.8%), primary 44 (24.9%), secondary 57 (32.2%), and tertiary education were 25 (14.1%). A detailed information is given in Fig. 1.

The overall total sum QoL score of the heart failure patients was calculated based on the three domains of the MLHF research tool. Based on the total item analysis of MLHF tool, a total sum of the scores of 72.37 were observed showing a low level of QoL among the studied heart failure patients. MLHF determines patients' characteristics that are affected their ability to live as they wanted during the past month (4 weeks). A study done in 2009, reported that a score of less than 24 on the MLHF tool represents a good

QoL, a score between 24 and 45 represents a moderate QoL, and more than 45 reflects a poor QoL. And among the sub-scale scores of the MLHF tool, the physical domain had slightly higher score than the emotional domain while the others domain had higher scores than the rest two of the domains. From the results obtained, it is also evident that the studied patients had equally experienced difficulty in their daily chores either in physical or in emotional domains. Fig.2 displays the mean score of each domain.

The clinical data of the patients are shown in Table 1. The duration of heart failure patients ranged from 0 year to more than 5 years. Most of the studied patients, 112 (63.3%) were diagnosed or had heart failure  $\leq$  5 years. Around 44 (24.9%) of the patients had > 3 comorbidities. Out of the total 177 study participants, there were 157 (88.7%) had hypertension (HPT), 135 (76.3%) were diagnosed with dyslipidemia, 76 (42.9%) with renal problems, and 129 (72.95%) had DM. The details of the patients' clinical characteristics are given in in table 1.

Relationship between the clinical characteristics and the mean QoL score is illustrated in table 2. Different clinical characteristics evaluated were disease duration, total no. of comorbidities, types of comorbidities i.e., HPT, dyslipidemia, renal problems, DM and others, hospital admissions, no. of current medications. Based on the results obtained, it was noted that the clinical characteristics had deeply affected overall QoL of the studied heart failure patients. The studied

**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patients (n= 177)**

Characteristics	N	%
<b>Duration</b>		
$\leq$ 5 years	112	63.3
> 5 years	65	36.7
<b>No. of comorbidities</b>		
$\leq$ 3	133	75.1
> 3	44	24.9
<b>HPT</b>		
Yes	157	88.7
No	20	11.3
<b>Dyslipidemia</b>		
Yes	135	76.3
No	42	23.7
<b>Renal</b>		
Yes	76	42.9
No	101	57.1
<b>DM</b>		
Yes	129	72.9
No	48	27.1

Characteristics	N	%
<b>Thyroid</b>		
Yes	152	85.9
No	25	14.1
<b>Edema</b>		
Yes	78	44.1
No	99	55.9
<b>Others</b>		
Yes	96	54.2
No	81	45.8
<b>Hospital admission</b>		
≤ 3	128	72.3
> 3	49	27.7
<b>Current medications</b>		
≤ 3	148	83.6
> 3	29	16.4

Table 2. Correlation between clinical characteristics and QoL score

Characteristics	N	Mean (SD)	r-Value	p-Value
<b>Duration</b>				
≤ 5 years	112	2.21 (1.37)	0.123	0.099
> 5 years	65	2.89 (2.88)		
<b>No. of comorbidities</b>				
≤ 3	133	3.11 (1.33)	0.235	0.999
> 3	44	3.72 (1.89)		
<b>HPT</b>				
Yes	157	3.47 (2.53)	0.251	0.077
No	20	3.83 (3.59)		
<b>Dyslipidemia</b>				
Yes	135	3.03 (1.23)	0.476	0.444
No	42	3.64 (1.32)		
<b>Renal</b>				
Yes	76	2.93 (1.42)	0.249	0.368
No	101	3.11 (2.64)		
<b>DM</b>				
Yes	129	3.15 (1.59)	0.321	0.663
No	48	3.48 (1.97)		
<b>Thyroid</b>				
Yes	152	2.92 (2.43)	0.147	0.076
No	25	2.16 (1.53)		
<b>Edema</b>				
Yes	78	3.22 (1.76)	0.128	0.897
No	99	3.63 (1.83)		
<b>Others</b>				
Yes	96	3.64 (2.35)	0.212	0.468
No	81	3.95 (1.48)		
<b>Hospital admission</b>				
≤ 3	128	2.38 (1.35)	0.246	0.072
> 3	49	2.32 (1.69)		
<b>Current medications</b>				
≤ 3	148	2.99 (2.37)	0.222	0.424
> 3	29	2.93 (2.65)		




Fig. 1. Demographic characteristics of the patients




Fig. 2. Mean QoL scores of the participants

clinical variables like disease duration, total no. of comorbidities, types of comorbidities i.e., HPT, dyslipidemia, renal problems, DM and others, hospital admissions, no. of current medications were found to have positive correlation with patients overall QoL. Furthermore, there was a weak and positive correlation was observed between various clinical characteristics and QoL. The detailed findings of these results are presented in Table 2.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study confirmed a weak but positive correlation between various studied clinical characteristics and QoL of the heart failure patients.

#### CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author.

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The publication was supported by the deanship of scientific research at Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj, Saudi Arabia.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Juenger J, Schellberg D, Kraemer S, Haunstetter A, Zugck C, Herzog W, Haass M. Health related quality of life in patients with congestive heart failure: Comparison with other chronic diseases and relation to functional variables. *Heart*. 2002;87:235–241.
2. American Heart Association, Guidelines for heart failure; 2017.
3. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JG, Coats AJ, Falk V, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GM, Ruilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2016;18:891–975.
4. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE, Drazner MH, Fonarow GC, Geraci SA, Horwich T, Januzzi JL, Johnson MR, Kasper EK, Levy WC, Masoudi FA, McBride PE, McMurray JJ, Mitchell JE, Peterson PN, Riegel B, Sam F, Stevenson LW, Tang WH, Tsai EJ, Wilkoff BL. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: Executive summary: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2013;128:1810–1852.
5. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Chronic heart failure in adults: management. (Clinical Guideline CG108); 2010. Available:www.nice.org.uk/guidance/Cg108 (2 April 2018)
6. Williams BA, Doddamani S, Troup MA, Mowery AL, Kline CM, Geringer JA, Faillace RT. Agreement between heart failure patients and providers in assessing New York Heart Association functional class. *Heart Lung*. 2017;46:293–299.
7. DP. Limitations of the New York Heart Association functional classification system and self-reported walking distances in chronic heart failure. *Heart*. 2007;93:476–482.
8. Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Bittencourt MS. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2019 update: A report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;139(10):e56–e528.
9. Cook C, Cole G, Asaria P, Jabbour R, Francis DP. The annual global economic burden of heart failure. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;171(3):368–376.
10. Dokainish H, Teo K, Zhu J, Roy A, AlHabib KF, ElSayed A, Palileo-Villaneuva L, Lopez-Jaramillo P, Karaye K, Yusoff K. Global mortality variations in patients with heart failure: results from the International Congestive Heart Failure (INTER-CHF) prospective cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2017;5(7):e665–e672.
11. Juenger J, Schellberg D, Kraemer S, Haunstetter A, Zugck C, Herzog W, Haass M. Health related quality of life in patients with congestive heart failure: comparison with other chronic diseases and relation to functional variables. *Heart*. 2002;87(3):235–241.

© 2021 Kassab et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/82706>